## **GOW Debate Scoring Sheet**

Dalasta Tau	_:		
Debate Tot	OIC:	 	 

Proposition	Proposition Scoring			Levels of Performance				
Criteria	Bad	Fair	poog	Excellent	Speaker 1	Speaker 2	Speaker 3	Speaker 4
Organization and Clarity:     Viewpoints and responses are outlined both clearly and orderly.	0 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 10				
2. Use of Arguments and Facts: examples and facts are given to support reasons, with references	0 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 10				
3. Use of Rebuttal: Arguments made by the other teams are responded to and dealt with effectively.	0 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 10				
<b>4. Presentation Style:</b> Tone of voice, use of gestures, and level of enthusiasm are convincing to audience.	0 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 10				
<b>5. Penalties</b> Respect for the protocol, appropriate language, no insults	0 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 10				
Sum of points	Max 10	Max 25	Max 40	Max 50				

## Opposition Team Name

Opposition		Scoring				Levels of Performance			
Criteria	Bad	Fair	9005	Excellent	Speaker 1	Speaker 2	Speaker 3	Speaker 4	
Organization and Clarity:     Viewpoints and responses are outlined both clearly and orderly.	0 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 10					
2. Use of Arguments and Facts: examples and facts are given to support reasons, with references	0 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 10					
3. Use of Rebuttal: Arguments made by the other teams are responded to and dealt with effectively.	0 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 10					
4. Presentation Style:  Tone of voice, use of gestures, and level of enthusiasm are convincing to audience.	0 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 10					
<b>5. Penalties</b> Respect for the protocol, appropriate language, no insults	0 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 10					
Sum of points	Max 10	Max 25	Max 40	Max 50					

	Team name	Final Score
Proposition team		
Opposition team		

Judge signature .....

## **Judging Criteria**

Criteria	0 – 2 points	3 – 5 points	6 – 8 points	9 – 10 points
1. Organization and Clarity:	Unclear in most parts	Clear in some parts but	Mostly clear and	Completely clear
Viewpoints and responses are		not over all	orderly in all parts	and orderly
outlined both clearly and				presentation
orderly.				
2. Use of Arguments and Facts:	Few or no relevant	Some relevant	Many examples/facts	Most relevant
Reasons are given to support	supporting	examples/facts given	given: fairly relevant	supporting
the viewpoint. Examples and	examples/facts			examples and facts
facts are given to support				given
reasons, with references				
3. Use of Rebuttal:	No effective counter-	Few effective counter-	Some effective	Many effective
Arguments made by the other	arguments made	arguments made	counter-arguments	counter-arguments
teams are responded to and			made	made
dealt with effectively.				
4. Presentation Style:	Few style features	Few style features were	All style features	All style features
Tone of voice, use of gestures,	were used; not	used but they were	were used, most	were used
and level of enthusiasm are	convincingly	used convincingly	convincingly	convincingly
convincing to audience.				
5. Penalties	A lot of reprimands	Many reprimands given	Some reprimands	Few or None
Respect for the protocol,	given		given	
appropriate language, no				
insults, respecting the time				

## JUDGE'S CODE OF ETHICS

- 1. Judges will consciously avoid bias of any kind in selecting first, second and third place contestants. They will not consider any contestant's club, area, division or district affiliation. Nor will they consider any contestant's age, sex, race, creed, national origin, profession or political beliefs. They will demonstrate the utmost objectivity.
- 2. Judges will support by word and deed the contest rules and judging standards, refraining from public criticism of the contest and revealing scores and ranking only in accordance with official policy.